

KARELIA

CBC // Cross-border cooperation



Project KA5016

«Joint cross-border environmental monitoring system» (ECO-bridge)

Report on the result of intercalibration

June 2019

Table of contents

| | |
|---|----|
| 1. Participants..... | 2 |
| 2. Primary objective | 2 |
| 3. Sampling, samples and analysed variables | 2 |
| 4. Control samples..... | 3 |
| 5. Conclusions | 3 |
| 5.1. Analysis of the split samples of natural river water | 3 |
| 5.2. Control samples..... | 4 |
| 6. Recommendations | 4 |
| Table 1. Results of analysis of a split sample of surface water of Tohmajoki river..... | 6 |
| Table 2. Control (synthetic samples) prepared by KarChem | 7 |
| Table 3. Control (synthetic) samples prepared by SAVO-Karjala Environmental Research..... | 7 |
| Table 4. Results of analysis of a control (synthetic sample) prepared by KarChem | 8 |
| Table 5. Results of analysis of a control (synthetic sample) prepared by SAVO-Karjala Environmental Research..... | 9 |
| Annex 1. List of water quality variables and their analytical methods used by laboratories participated in intercalibration | 10 |

1. Participants

Interlaboratory comparative tests (intercalibrations) were carried out during 24.01-28.02.2019. Participants were analytical laboratories of Savo-Karjala Environment laboratory in Kuopio (Finland) and in Joensuu (Finland), and KarChem laboratory of environmental pollution (the Republic of Karelia, Russia). In sampling Finnish participants were from Finnish Environment Institute SYKE)

2. Primary objective

Primary objective was to compare the analytical methods used by the participating laboratories and how comparable are results of water quality variables. Samples from Tohmajoki river were taken together with same method. Besides, synthetic control samples prepared by both partners were analyzed without knowing the original concentration

3. Sampling, samples and analysed variables

Joint water sampling of natural river water was performed according to the Russian guideline 52.24.309-2016. The grab sampling took place in Russia at a cross-border watercourse Tohmajoki river by representatives of KarChem and SYKE on 23.01.2019.

The river was opened with an ice-drill and the hole was cleaned from the ice with an enamel strainer. The sample was taken with a five-liter, enameled bucket and carried to a car (at a distance of about 50 meters) where the sample was divided into sample bottles (Figure).



Figure. Sampling at Tohmajoki River.

The following variables were measured from the split water sample:

Nutrients

- $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$ (ammonium nitrogen)
- $\text{PO}_4\text{-P}$ (phosphate phosphorus)
- $\text{NO}_2\text{-N}+\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$ (nitrate nitrogen and nitrate nitrogen as sum or separately)
- Total P (total phosphorus)
- Total N (total nitrogen)

Metals

- Total Fe (total iron)
- Total Mn (total manganese)

Suspended solids

- Mass concentration of solids in a liquid, normally determined by filtration or centrifuging and then drying all under specified conditions.

Participants used same standard methods that they are using in daily operations (Appendix 1). KarChem used test methods that they have certificate for (Accreditation certificate RA.RU.511024 of 12.08.2015). Savo-Karjala Environment laboratory is accredited (SFS-EN ISO/IEC 17025:2005) and uses SFS-ISO standard methods.

The results of the analyses are presented in Table 1.

4. Control samples

Preparation and exchange of control samples with fixed concentrations of ingredients and schemes for analyses:

- Control samples of KarChem were made from the standard (state-approved) samples corresponding the measured ingredients.
- The lists of control samples with labels (number of sample) and assigned levels of ingredients are presented in tables 2 and 3.
- The participants of intercalibration exchanged control at a work meeting in Sortavala on 23.01.2019.
- The results of qualitative chemical analyses performed by both laboratories participating in intercalibration are presented in tables 4 and 5.

5. Conclusions

The full procedure for intercalibration of the analysis of all control samples was performed by laboratories of KarChem and SAVO-Karjala Environmental Research laboratory in Kuopio.

Separate analyses for $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$ and $\text{NO}_2\text{-N}+\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$ was performed in SAVO-Karjala Environmental Research laboratories in Kuopio and Joensuu. KarChem analysed $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$ and $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$ separately.

5.1. Analysis of the split samples of natural river water

Unsatisfactory comparability of measurement was revealed for several ingredients (Table 1).

Significant discrepancies in measurement of the content of manganese, suspended solids, ammonium nitrogen and phosphorus were revealed.

The differences in results are partly linked to the differences of sample preparation and analysis methods. For instance, suspended solids were filtered from water using different types of filters: in KarChem 0.45 µm pore size filter and in Savo-Karjala glass fiber filter was used. The latter allows small particles, with size greater than 0.45 µm, wash away from analysis.

A result of manganese analyzes depends essentially of how much Mn degrades from particles in natural water. There is no difference between results of control samples (Table 5) but results of Mn concentration in river water differ remarkably (Table 1).

5.2. Control samples

Control samples prepared by KarChem for Savo-Karjala were provided with enclosed instructions for preparation of control samples from basic solutions. Nevertheless, this proposed procedure was not implemented by laboratories Kuopio and Joensuu that analyzed basic solutions. The reason for this was that the laboratories did not notice the dilution guidelines. Furthermore, the laboratories did not expect the need to dilute the control samples.

The results of the analysis of the control samples can be considered satisfactory for most parameters taking into account the confidence intervals of margins of error set for the analysis methods used.

Due to the fact, that the laboratory of Kuopio did not dilute the control samples with distilled water according the instructions of KarChem, all the other parameters (except PO₄-P) were on the same level than the non-diluted Russian control samples. Result of PO₄-P was clearly higher than the assigned concentration in the non-diluted sample (Table 4).

Analytical results of synthetic samples prepared by Savo-Karjala are in Table 5. Total P result of KarChem is clearly higher than the original concentration. Total Fe result of KarChem is lower than the original result.

6. Recommendations

It is recommended that KarChem would take apart to Profest organized by SYKE laboratory. All Finnish laboratories take part to these tests and sometime also laboratories in other countries. Annual schemes of proficiency tests and intercomparison tests are published in [SYKE website](#)

Very suitable matrix and variables for KarChem are included to programme in year 2020.

| Natural water II | | |
|---------------------|---|---------------------|
| Lake or river water | N _{NH4} , N _{NO2} , N _{NO3} , N _{tot} , P _{PO4} , P _{tot} , P _{PO4, dissolved} | Every second year |
| Coastal water | P _{tot, dissolved} , alkalinity, pH, conductivity | 2018, 2020, 2022... |
| Natural water III | | |
| Lake or river water | chlorophyll <i>a</i> , oxygen, SiO ₂ , TOC, TIC, salinity | Every second year |
| Coastal water | | 2018, 2020, 2022... |

Differences in sample preparation and analytical methods of natural water in Finnish laboratories and KarChem should be studied in more detail.

KarChem has not yet an analytical method for total nitrogen. It is a basic water quality variable and important indicator for nutrient status of natural waters and thus it is very recommended that KarChem would have it.

Table 1. Results of analysis of a split sample of surface water of Tohmajoki river

| Variable | Kuopio | | Joensuu | | KarChem | | |
|---------------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|----------------|----------------------|----------------|----------------------|--|
| | Result µg/l | Margin of error % | Result µg/l | Margin of error % | Result µg/l | Margin of error % | Confidence interval of the result µg/l |
| NH ₄ -N | 41.7 | 13 | 43.4 | 12 | 24 ± 10 | 41 | 14 - 34 |
| PO ₄ -P | 9.3 | 12 | - | - | 13 ± 3 | 23 | 10 - 16 |
| NO ₂ -N+NO ₃ -N | 210 | 8 | 213 | 15 | 242 ± 64 | 26 | 178 - 306 |
| Total P | 22.6 | 15 | - | - | 34 ± 6 | 17 | 28 - 40 |
| Total N | 688 | 15 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total Fe | 1130 | 10 | - | - | 1420 ± 150 | 10 | 1270 - 1570 |
| Total Mn | 27.6 | 10 | - | - | 99 ± 13 | 13 | 86 - 112 |
| Suspended solids ^{*)} | 1080 | 8 | - | - | 6950 ± 400 | 57 | 2950 - 10950 |

**) Suspended solids: Savo-Karjala used glass fiber filter. It is not possible to give the exact pore size of that filter type. Karelia CHEM used filter with pore size 0.45 µm.*

Table 2. Control (synthetic samples) prepared by KarChem

| Elements | Used state standard reference sample (SSRS) or reagent | Range for diluted sample µg/l | Bottle number | Assigned concentration in the non-diluted sample µg/l |
|--------------------|---|--------------------------------------|----------------------|--|
| NH ₄ -N | SSRS 7747-99 | 10 - 20 | 1 | 9940 |
| PO ₄ -P | SSRS 7748-99 | 3 - 10 | 2 | 7990 |
| NO ₂ -N | SSRS 7753-2000 | 180 - 230 | 4 | - |
| NO ₃ -N | SSRS 820-2000 | 180 - 230 | 5 | 10050 |
| Total P | SSRS 7241-96 | 10 - 20 | 3 | 9800 |
| Total Fe | SSRS 7835-2000 | 1300 - 1500 | 6 | 50000 |

Table 3. Control (synthetic) samples prepared by SAVO-Karjala Environmental Research

| Elements | Range µg/l | Assigned concentration µg/l |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------------|
| NH ₄ -N | 10 - 20 | 18 |
| PO ₄ -P | 3 - 10 | 9 |
| NO ₂ -N+NO ₃ -N | 180 - 230 | 200 |
| Total P | 10 - 20 | 15 |
| Total N | 550 - 650 | 600 |
| Total Fe | 1300 - 1500 | 1400 |
| Total Mn | 100 - 150 | 130 |

Table 4. Results of analysis of a control (synthetic sample) prepared by KarChem

| Elements | Assigned concentration in the non-diluted sample µg/l | Kuopio | | Joensuu | | Assigned concentration in the diluted control sample, µg/l | KarChem* | |
|---------------------------------------|---|--------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------------|--|-------------|----------------------|
| | | Result µg/l | Margin of error % | Result µg/l | Margin of error % | | Result µg/l | Margin of error µg/l |
| NH ₄ -N | 9940 | 9400 | 12 | 9710 | 10 | 20 | 23 | ± 10 |
| PO ₄ -P | 7990 | 9490 | 10 | Not analyzed | - | 10 | 10 | ± 4 |
| NO ₂ -N+NO ₃ -N | 10050 | 11300 | 8 | 11000 | 15 | 200 | 180 | ± 50 |
| Total P | 9800 | 9770 | 12 | Not analyzed | - | 14.7 | 13.4 | - |
| Total N | No control sample | Not analyzed | | Not analyzed | - | - | - | - |
| Total Fe | 50000 | 50700 | 10 | Not analyzed | - | 1500 | 1590 | ± 160 |
| Total Mn | 10000 | 10000 | 10 | Not analyzed | - | 150 | 130 | ± 17 |

** Control samples prepared by KarChem for SYKE were provided with enclosed instructions for preparation of control samples from basic solutions. This proposed procedure of diluting the samples was not implemented by laboratories Kuopio and Joensuu that analyzed basic solutions. KarChem followed the instructions when analyzing the samples.*

Table 5. Results of analysis of a control (synthetic sample) prepared by SAVO-Karjala Environmental Research

| Elements | Assigned concentrations µg/l | Kuopio | | Joensuu | | KarChem | | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|----------------|----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|---|
| | | Result µg/l | Margin of error % | Result µg/l | Margin of error % | Result in µg/l | Margin of error % | Confidence interval of the result µg/l |
| NH ₄ -N | 18 | 16.8 | 13 | 19.1 | 26 | 22 | 45 | 12 - 32 |
| PO ₄ -P | 9 | 7.9 | 13 | Not analyzed | - | 10 | ... | 6 - 14 |
| NO ₂ -N+NO ₃ -N | 200 | 205 | 8 | 202 | 15 | 223 | 26 | 164 - 282 |
| Total P | 15 | 15.8 | 15 | Not analyzed | - | 25 | 24 | 19 - 31 |
| Total N | 600 | 625 | 10 | Not analyzed | - | Not analyzed | - | - |
| Total Fe | 1400 | 1390 | 10 | Not analyzed | - | 1260 | 11 | 1120 - 1400 |
| Total Mn | 130 | 136 | 8 | Not analyzed | - | 127 | 13 | 111 - 143 |

Annex 1. List of water quality variables and their analytical methods used by laboratories participated in intercalibration

| Indicated elements | Laboratory | Methods | Method range/detection limit ¹⁾ | Sampling, preservation and storage of samples | | | |
|---|--------------|--|--|---|---|------------------|--|
| | | | | Sample bottle | Preservation and storage | Analysis done in | Analysis done within |
| Ammonium nitrogen (NH₄)⁺ | KarChem | PD 52.24.383-2005 | 0.02-1.0 mg/dm ³ | Polymeric material or glass | 1. No preservation 2. Preserving at pH <2 3. Freezing down to -20 – -40°C | Laboratory | 1. 6 h 2. 3-4 days 3. Continuous |
| | Savo-Karjala | In-house method, fluorometric, CFA analyser (based on Skalar analytical method no. A157/158) | 5 µg/l | Polymeric material | No preservation | Laboratory | 24 h |
| Phosphate phosphorus (PO₄³⁻) | KarChem | PD 52.24.382-2006, paragraph 11 | 0.010-0.2 mg/dm ³ | 1.-2. Glass 3. Polymeric material | 1. No preservation 2. Storage at 3-6° C with addition of chloroform 3. Freezing down to -20 – -40°C | Laboratory | 1. 4 h 2. 3 days 3. Continuous |
| | Savo-Karjala | In-house method, colorimetric, FIA analyser (based on SFS-EN ISO 15681-1:2005, Part 1.) | 2 µg/l | Polymeric material | No preservation | | 24 h |
| Nitrite nitrogen (NO₂) | KarChem | PD 52.24.381-2006 | 0.01-0.25 mg/dm ³ | Polymeric material or glass | 1. No preservation 2. Storage at 3-6° C 3. Freezing down to -20 – -40°C | Laboratory | 1. 2 h 2. 24 h 3. Continuous |
| | Savo-Karjala | SFS-EN ISO 13395:1997 | 2 µg/l | Polymeric material | No preservation | Laboratory | 24 h |

| | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------|--|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Nitrate nitrogen (NO₃) | KarChem | M-02-1805 | 0.080-4.0 mg/dm ³ | Polymeric material or glass | No preservation | Laboratory | 8 h |
| | Savo-Karjala | SFS-EN ISO 13395:1997 | 2 µg/l | Polymeric material | No preservation | Laboratory | 24 h |
| Total phosphorus (mineral and organic) | KarChem | PD 52.24.387-2006 | 0.02-0.4 mg/dm ³ | 1.-2. Glass 3. Polymeric material | 1. No preservation 2. Freezing down to -20 – -40°C 3. Freezing down to -20 – -40°C | Laboratory | 1. 4 h 2. 3 days 3. Continuous |
| | Savo-Karjala | SFS-EN ISO 15681-2:2003 | 5 µg/l | Polymeric material | 1. No preservation 2. Preservation with H ₂ SO ₄ | Laboratory | 1. 24 h 2. 7 days |
| Total nitrogen | Savo-Karjala | SFS-EN ISO 11905-1:1998 | 50 µg/l | Polymeric material | 1. No preservation 2. Freezing down to -20 – -40°C | Laboratory | 1. 24 h 2. 1 month |
| Total Fe | KarChem | M-02-1109 | 0,0020-1,0 mg/dm ³ | Polymeric material | Preservation with HNO ₃ at pH < 2 | Laboratory | 1 month |
| | Savo-Karjala | SFS-EN ISO 17294-1 (2006) and 17294-2 (2016) ICP-MS (low concentrations) ²⁾ | 1 µg/l | Polymeric material | Preservation with H ₂ SO ₄ | Laboratory | 6 months |
| Total Mn | KarChem | M-02-1109 | 0,0050-50 mg/dm ³ | Polymeric material | Preservation with HNO ₃ at pH < 2 | Laboratory | 1 month |
| | Savo-Karjala | SFS-EN ISO 17294-1 (2006) and 17294-2 (2016) ICP-MS (low concentrations) ²⁾ | 0.5 µg/l | Polymeric material | Preservation with H ₂ SO ₄ | Laboratory | 6 months |
| Suspended solids | KarChem | PD 52.24.468-2005. paragraph 10.1, filter size 0.45 µm | 5.0-100 mg/dm ³ | Polymeric material or glass | Cool down to 3-6°C | Laboratory, right after sampling | 7 days |
| | Savo-Karjala | SFS-EN 872:2005, glass fibre filter was used. | 1 mg/l | Polymeric material | Cool down to 2-8°C | Laboratory | 2 days |

1) Accredited method range in KarChem lab, the limit of determination in Savo-Karjala lab

2) SFS-EN ISO 11885 (2009) ICP-OES for high concentrations

Guidelines in Russia: International standard GOST-31861-2012, P 52.24.353-2012

Guidelines in Finland: [Reports of the Finnish Environment Institute 22/2016](#). Quality recommendations for data entered into the environmental administration's water quality registers: Quantification limits, measurement uncertainties, storage times and methods associated with analytes determined from waters. Teemu Näykki and Tero Väisänen (eds.).

In Finland: transportation cool down to 2-8°C, storage in laboratory -1 - 5°C [SFS-EN ISO 5667-3]